Please be aware that this communication is provided for information only and should not be relied upon. BNP Paribas cannot provide legal or regulatory advice to our clients and you are strongly recommended to discuss the developments on these regulations with your own professional advisers.

Under the European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR, as amended by EMIR Refit), large Financial Counterparties over the clearing threshold in any asset class (FC+) and Non-Financial Counterparties over the clearing threshold in an asset class (NFC+) have to clear certain types of over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives, in accordance with the relevant clearing obligations.

The EMIR regime was amended by the EMIR Refit Regulation in 2019 as a result of a review into the implementation of EMIR, and some of the changes introduced by EMIR Refit are covered below.

Note also that as a result of Brexit and the UK’s onshoring of EU-derived law, the EU and the UK apply their own EMIR and Markets in Financial Instruments (MiFIR) regimes respectively within the European Economic Area (EEA) and the UK. The application and interaction of the UK and EU regimes can be complex, especially for OTC derivatives transactions between EU and UK established/authorised counterparties. Where an EMIR or MiFIR provision or obligation is mentioned below, the position should be considered under the EU and/or UK regime as appropriate. Clients should consult with their legal advisers in case of uncertainties (BNP Paribas does not offer legal or regulatory advice).

What are the OTC derivatives currently subject to the clearing obligation under EU EMIR?

In the context of the Benchmark Transition to new Risk Free Rates (RFRs), the EU have and are still adjusting their EMIR Clearing Mandates in order to remove LIBOR and add new RFRs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Maturity</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>Reference Index</th>
<th>Settlement CCY type</th>
<th>Optionality</th>
<th>Notional Type</th>
<th>Effective date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basis swaps</td>
<td>28D-50Y</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>EURIBOR</td>
<td>Single CCY</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Constant or Variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed-to-Float</td>
<td>28D-50Y</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>EURIBOR</td>
<td>Single CCY</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Constant or Variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward rate agreements</td>
<td>3D-3Y</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>EURIBOR</td>
<td>Single CCY</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Constant or Variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight index swaps</td>
<td>7D-3Y</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>FedFunds</td>
<td>Single CCY</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Constant or Variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight index swaps</td>
<td>7D-3Y</td>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>€STR</td>
<td>Single CCY</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Constant or Variable</td>
<td>May 18th 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight index swaps</td>
<td>7D-50Y</td>
<td>GBP</td>
<td>SONIA</td>
<td>Single CCY</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Constant or Variable</td>
<td>May 18th 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight index swaps</td>
<td>7D-3Y</td>
<td>USD</td>
<td>SOFR</td>
<td>Single CCY</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Constant or Variable</td>
<td>Aug 18th 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mandatory clearing obligation (CO) was phased-in based on the Clearing Categorisation of counterparties - but the clearing obligation is now in force for all counterparty clearing categories. This clearing obligation may be extended further over time.

What are the changes introduced by EMIR Refit to the clearing classifications and clearing obligation?

- EMIR Refit made certain changes to the counterparty classifications and their subsequent obligations
- A Small Financial Counterparty (SFC) is a Financial Counterparty whose positions calculated at group level do not exceed any of the clearing thresholds per product class (SFCs must include hedging transactions in the calculation). SFCs are no longer subject to the EMIR clearing obligation but are still subject to reporting and margin requirements
- If an FC exceeds any of the clearing thresholds in any product class it is classified as a large FC (FC+) and subject to the mandatory clearing obligation in respect of all mandatorily clearable derivative product classes
- NFC+ counterparties are only subject to the clearing obligation in the product classes where they exceed the relevant clearing threshold but will remain subject to EMIR reporting and margin requirements in respect of OTC derivatives generally

EMIR Clearing thresholds

The following clearing thresholds in terms of gross notional value of OTC contracts apply when an entity is calculating its EMIR clearing status (i.e. FC+ or NFC+):

- EUR 1 billion Credit derivative contracts
- EUR 1 billion Equity derivative contracts
- EUR 3 billion Interest rate derivative contracts
- EUR 3 billion Foreign exchange derivative contracts
- EUR 3 billion Commodity derivative contracts & others

Note that entities should consider other relevant factors as required by EMIR when carrying out the clearing threshold calculation depending on whether they are an FC+ or NFC+ e.g. whether to include/exclude hedging transactions or derivatives entered into by other entities in its corporate group.
Which Central Counterparties have been authorised or recognised by European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA)?

The consolidated list of authorised EU Central Counter Parties (CCPs) is set out in this ESMA document, while recognised third-country CCPs are specified in the following ESMA document. Counterparties subject to the EMIR mandatory clearing obligation may only clear in-scope derivatives on an EU-authorised CCP. Parties subject to UK EMIR should consider which UK CCPs have been authorised by, and non-UK CCPs recognised by, the UK regulators.

UK CCPs: The EU-UK transition period has ended (31 December 2020) and UK CCPs are now viewed as third-country CCPs from an EU EMIR perspective. The UK CCPs (LME, ICE, and LCH) have been temporarily recognised as equivalent by ESMA until 30 June 2025. Therefore, clients can continue to meet their clearing obligation on these CCPs at the date of this publication.

What is required for a client to meet their clearing obligation?

- Clients must have a Cleared Derivatives Execution Agreement (CDEA) in place with BNP Paribas prior to trading instruments with BNP Paribas which are subject to the EMIR mandatory clearing obligation.
- Clients must have all relevant clearing arrangements (i.e. a clearing broker) in place for clearing on a CCP that is authorised or recognised by ESMA for these purposes.
- In-scope counterparties must ensure they are set up to trade electronically on EU-authorised trading venues (i.e. Bloomberg’s or Trade Web’s EU-authorised trading venues), or a third country venue that has been recognised as equivalent to an EU trading venue by the European Commission (e.g. US Swap Execution Facilities (SEFs)) in order to fulfil the MiFIR Derivatives Trading Obligation (DTO) for in-scope instruments.

How does Brexit impact the clearing threshold calculation?

For purposes of calculating their clearing threshold for EU EMIR purposes, in-scope firms must take into account the fact that after the EU-UK transition period (i.e. from 1 January 2021) derivatives executed on UK regulated markets are regarded as “OTC derivatives” and should be included in a firm’s gross notional value clearing threshold calculations for purposes of EU EMIR.

ESMA EMIR Q&A, OTC Question 2 confirms that derivatives executed on UK regulated markets before the end of the EU-UK transition period (i.e. before 1 January 2021) do not count as “OTC derivatives” for purposes of clearing thresholds. Derivatives executed on UK venues after 1 January 2021 would do so.

If an entity had not already exceeded the EU EMIR clearing threshold before 18 February 2021 and that entity novates OTC transactions for the sole purpose of replacing a UK-established counterparty to a derivative with an EU-established counterparty and those novated transactions take the entity above the clearing thresholds, then the entity is subject to the clearing obligation from a slightly postponed date of 18 February 2022.

UK EMIR: Firms calculating their clearing threshold for UK EMIR purposes should consider whether they exceed the UK EMIR clearing thresholds taking into account that derivatives executed on UK regulated markets and non-UK venues recognised by the UK will not be treated as “OTC derivatives”. Firms should check which non-UK venues have been recognised by the UK.

MIFIR Derivatives Trading Obligation (DTO)

NFC+ and FC+ are subject to the mandatory clearing obligation under EMIR and are subsequently subject to the derivatives trading obligation under Article 28 of the Markets in Financial Instruments Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 (MiFIR). 2

---

2 Technically, Article 28 MiFIR applies the trading obligation to “financial counterparties” (FC) and non-financial counterparties above the clearing threshold (NFC+). When EMIR Refit came into effect, small FCs (FC-) are technically not subject to a clearing obligation but, due to Article 28 MiFIR referring to FCs generally, seemed to be subject to the trading obligation. Responding to this unintended misalignment between the application of the EMIR mandatory clearing obligation and the DTO, ESMA released a statement endorsing national authorities not to enforce the DTO against parties not subject to the EMIR mandatory clearing obligation (e.g. small FCs and NFC-).
The DTO requires in-scope firms to execute in-scope instruments on EU-authorised regulated markets, Multilateral Trading Facility (MTFs) or Organised Trading Facility (OTFs).

The trading obligation only applies to a range of in-scope derivatives instruments as specified in the relevant MiFIR regulatory technical standards. They are a sub-set of classes of derivatives subject to the EMIR clearing obligation.

ESMA maintains and publishes a public register of those derivative contracts that are subject to the trading obligation. The register provides clarity to market participants on the application of the trading obligation under MiFIR and in particular on:

- The classes of derivatives subject to the trading obligation
- The trading venues on which those derivatives can be traded
- The dates on which the DTO takes effect per category of counterparties

The ESMA public register will be updated in case of changes, such as when new trading venues offer trading in the derivatives subject to the trading obligation. Parties subject to the UK DTO should refer to the relevant UK public registers and technical standards under UK MiFIR.

What are the OTC derivatives currently subject to the clearing obligation under UK EMIR?

Similarly to the EU, in the context of the Benchmark Transition to new Risk Free Rates (RFRs), the UK have and are still also adjusting their EMIR Clearing Mandates in order to remove LIBOR and add new RFRs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G4 Currencies IRS RTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis swaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis swaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis swaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis swaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed-to-Float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed-to-Float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed-to-Float</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward rate agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward rate agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward rate agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight index swaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight index swaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight index swaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight index swaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight index swaps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How does Brexit impact the EMIR clearing obligation and the MiFIR derivatives trading obligation?

EMIR mandatory clearing obligation

Firms should consider the position under EU EMIR and/or UK EMIR, as applicable. The application of the EU/UK EMIR regimes can be complex and firms should be aware of the following high level issues. BNP Paribas does not provide legal and regulatory advice and in case of further queries firms are respectfully recommended to consult with their legal counsel.

EU EMIR:
- From an EU EMIR perspective, firms should note that UK CCPs are now third country CCPs and a UK CCP can only be used by parties for purposes of the EU EMIR mandatory clearing obligation if that UK CCP has been recognised by ESMA. As noted above, ESMA has temporarily recognised the UK CCPs (LME, ICE Clear Europe Ltd, and LCH Limited) until 30 June 2025.
- As mentioned above, derivatives executed on third country venues are viewed as “OTC derivatives” for purposes of the EMIR clearing threshold calculations unless that third country venue has been recognised as equivalent to an EU regulated market by ESMA. UK venues have not been recognised yet, so firms must include derivatives executed on UK venues as “OTC derivatives” when calculating whether they exceed the clearing thresholds under EU EMIR.

UK EMIR:
- We note similar points in relation to EMIR as onshored into UK law (i.e. UK EMIR).
- From a UK EMIR perspective, firms should take into account that only UK CCPs and non-UK CCPs recognised by the UK can be used in compliance with the UK EMIR clearing obligation. The Bank of England has recognised various EEA CCPs on a temporary basis and third country CCPs already recognised by the EU before Brexit have also been recognised by the UK, post-Brexit.
- Counterparties calculating their clearing threshold status for UK EMIR purposes should also note UK EMIR’s definition of what derivatives are regarded as “OTC derivatives” (derivatives not executed on UK regulated markets or third country venues recognised by the UK).
MiFIR derivatives trading obligation (DTO)

Firms should consider the position under EU MiFIR and/or UK MiFIR as applicable. The application of the EU/UK MiFIR regimes can be complex and firms should be aware of the following high level issues. BNP Paribas does not provide legal and regulatory advice and in case of further queries firms are respectfully recommended to consult with their legal counsel.

EU MiFIR:
- From an EU MiFIR perspective, UK regulated markets, MTFs and OTFs are, after the end of the EU-UK transition period (1 January 2021 onward), regarded as third country venues. Third country trading venues can only be used to execute in-scope derivatives in compliance with the EU DTO if the venue has been recognised as equivalent to EU venues by ESMA. UK venues have not been recognised by the EU yet so cannot be used by firms subject to the EU DTO to trade in in-scope derivatives
- Firms should also consider whether, due to the changed definition of an “OTC derivative” under EU EMIR, whether they may be regarded as an FC+ or NFC+ and subject to the EU DTO for the first time

UK MiFIR:
- We note similar points in relation to EMIR as onshored into UK law (i.e. UK EMIR)
- From a UK MiFIR perspective, firms subject to the UK DTO should be aware that they may only trade in compliance with the UK DTO on UK regulated markets, UK MTFs and UK OTFs and non-UK venues recognised by the UK. The UK has not recognised EEA derivatives venues for purposes of the UK DTO yet. However on 31 December 2020 the FCA issued limited, temporary transitional relief for firms subject to the UK DTO, permitting certain types of derivatives transactions to be carried out on EU venues in certain circumstances

Questions & Answers

What is BNP Paribas SA’s status?

BNP Paribas SA (LEI: R0MUWSFPUBMPRO8KSP83) is currently classified as a Financial Counterparty Category 1 entity under EMIR under the G4, EEA and Credit Regulatory Technical Standards (RTS) so subject to mandatory clearing requirements when facing an in-scope counterparty. Under EMIR Refit BNP Paribas has confirmed its large Financial Counterparty (FC+) status to its National Competent Authorities (NCA) and ESMA. BNP Paribas is therefore still subject to mandatory clearing requirements when facing an in-scope counterparty. This status also applies when BNP Paribas is dealing with a counterparty that is subject to UK EMIR (e.g. UK-incorporated FCs and NFCs).

Does EMIR Refit impact the EMIR clearing obligation?

Yes. Key impacts of EMIR Refit include:
- Revised FC Definition – adds:
  o New narrower definition of “small financial counterparties” (SFC), with the intention that these SFCs are exempt from the clearing obligation in cases where clearing is viewed as being not economically feasible. SFCs below the clearing threshold in all asset classes are not subject to the clearing obligation (though exceeding the clearing threshold in any asset class will trigger the clearing obligation for all asset classes). SFCs are still potentially subject to other EMIR requirements (e.g. Article 9 reporting requirements and Article 11 risk-mitigation requirements (including, depending on the relevant phase-in dates, obligations to exchange IM/VM for uncleared derivatives)).
  o FC+ (i.e. financial counterparties clearing the clearing threshold for any of the asset classes) are subject to the clearing threshold for all asset classes.
- The EMIR mandatory clearing obligation threshold is triggered on an asset class by asset class basis for NFCs, which means an NFC will only be subject to the clearing obligation in the asset classes for which it exceeds the relevant clearing threshold.
- No further frontloading.
Under EMIR Refit, am I classified as a Small Financial Counterparty?

A Small Financial Counterparty (SFC) is an FC whose positions calculated at group level does not exceed any of the clearing thresholds (hedging transactions are included in the FC’s calculation). A counterparty must perform its own calculation to determine if they classify as SFC, and make the relevant notification to their NCA and ESMA. SFCs are exempt from the clearing obligation but still subject to reporting, margin and other risk-mitigation requirements.

As a Small FC, are we subject to the EMIR mandatory clearing obligation when trading in-scope instruments with BNP Paribas?

No. As a Small FC you are exempted from the mandatory clearing requirement as amended by EMIR Refit. This is because you do not exceed the clearing thresholds in any asset classes as a small FC. You can clear on a voluntary basis but this will not be a regulatory requirement. You need to notify BNP Paribas (CIB CLIENT REGULATION EMEA) that you are classified as a SFC and also if this classification changes over time. You will be treated as a FC subject to mandatory clearing in case you do not provide the relevant information on your status to BNP Paribas.

As a Small FC, are we still subject to the EMIR requirement to exchange margin for uncleared derivatives when trading in-scope instruments with BNP Paribas?

Yes. The new EMIR Refit SFC classification does not impact current margin requirements for un-cleared OTC derivative trades.

As an NFC+, are we subject to the EMIR mandatory clearing obligation?

As an NFC+ you will only be subject to the clearing obligation in the asset classes where you exceed the threshold. For example if you exceed the IRS clearing threshold you will be subject to the mandatory clearing requirement for IRS while if you are below the Credit clearing threshold you will not be subject to the clearing requirement for CDS. You need to notify BNP Paribas (CIB CLIENT REGULATION EMEA) if you are below a threshold for a specific asset class or if there is a change over time. You will still be subject to reporting and margin requirements as relevant to NFCs.

What is the impact of EMIR Refit on the MiFIR Derivatives Trading Obligation?

Counterparties in scope for the EMIR Clearing Obligation (CO) are subsequently automatically in-scope for MiFIR DTO for in-scope instruments. Please note that on 12 July 2019 ESMA published a statement to advise National Competent Authorities (NCAs) not to prioritise their supervisory actions in relation to the DTO towards counterparties exempted from the CO following the entry into force of EMIR Refit. In line with this statement, SFC clients below all clearing thresholds or NFC clients below a threshold for a specific asset class are exempted from the CO and therefore the DTO.

As a Small FC, are we subject to the MiFIR Derivatives Trading Obligation when trading in-scope instruments with BNP Paribas?

No. The 12 July 2019 ESMA statement confirms you are exempted from DTO requirements.

As an FC+, are we subject to the MiFIR Derivatives Trading Obligation when trading in-scope instruments with BNP Paribas?

Yes. Clearing clients that qualify as FC+ due to being above any of the clearing thresholds are subject to the MiFIR Derivatives Trading Obligation. BNP Paribas started enforcing this on October 18th 2019 in accordance with the 12 July 2019 ESMA statement.
How could EU and UK EMIR/MiFIR obligations apply to me?

As the UK has onshored EMIR and MiFIR and applies its own version of those regulations, you should consider whether you are instead, or in addition, subject to UK EMIR or UK MiFIR requirements (for example in relation to the EMIR clearing obligation, any other EMIR obligations and the DTO under MiFIR) and whether your clearing/trading arrangements are in compliance.

As a result of Brexit the UK and EU operate their own EMIR and MiFIR regimes and counterparties may have to carry out their clearing threshold calculation using different EU and UK definitions of an “OTC derivative”. This may mean (but you should consult with your legal and regulatory advisors as BNP Paribas does not provide legal advice) you need to make different clearing threshold calculations for purposes of UK EMIR and EU EMIR, depending on whether the EU and/or UK regime applies to you and to your counterparty.

What is the overall impacts of the Benchmark Transition on Clearing Mandates?

In the context of the Benchmark Transition to new Risk Free Rates (RFRs), both the EU and the UK are adjusting their respective EMIR Clearing Mandates in order to remove LIBOR and add new RFRs.

FURTHER QUESTIONS

If you have any other comments or questions, please contact the BNP Paribas European Regulatory Reform Team (cib.client.regulations.emea@bnpparibas.com) or your usual relationship or sales contact.

Disclaimer

This document is directed at BNP Paribas Global Markets clients and has been prepared in good faith by BNP Paribas. This document is provided to you by BNP Paribas or any of its affiliates for informational purposes only, is intended for your use only and may not be quoted, circulated or otherwise referred to without BNP Paribas' express consent. This document is not a research report or a research recommendation and does not constitute a personal recommendation. This document should not be considered as an offer or a solicitation to engage in any trading strategy or to purchase or sell any financial instruments or offer any clearing or other services. The information and opinions contained in this document have been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but BNP Paribas makes no representation, express or implied, that such information and opinions are accurate or complete. In any event, information in this publication is intended to provide only a general outline of the subjects covered. This material is not intended to provide, and should not be relied on for, legal, tax, accounting, regulatory or financial advice. Other financial institutions or persons may have different opinions or draw different conclusions from the same facts or ideas analysed in this document. No BNP Paribas Group Company accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of material contained in this document.

BNP Paribas does not provide legal or regulatory advice and, in all cases, recipients should conduct their own investigation and analysis of the information contained in this document and should consult their own professional advisers.